METHOD FOR PRESERVING PERISHABLE FRESH FOOD PRODUCTS IN A CONTAINER AND CONTAINER PROVIDED THEREFOR

The invention relates to a method for preserving fresh perishable food products, which is especially useful for meat and meat products, in a packaging, wherein the method does away with the need for adding a modified and/or protective atmosphere, the actual packaging generating said protective atmosphere from a chemical reaction for generating carbon dioxide which is initiated by the natural exudate of the packaged product and which extends over time, such as to effectively control the generation of gases inside the packaging and to avoid the build-up of exudate in contact with the food product inside said packaging. The invention also relates to a packaging for generating a protective atmosphere for the fresh perishable product packaged in accordance with the aforementioned method.
[0001] The present invention relates generally to a method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging and the packaging carrying out such method.

[0002] More specifically, the object of the invention is a method for preserving fresh perishable food products, especially applicable for meat and meat derivatives in a packaging, wherein the method eliminates the need for adding a modified and/or protective atmosphere, the packaging itself generating said protective atmosphere from a chemical reaction that generates carbon dioxide which is triggered by the natural exudate of the packaged product and which extends over time, such as to effectively control the generation of gases inside the packaging and avoiding build-up of exudate in contact with the food product inside said packaging. It is also an object of the invention a protective atmosphere generating packaging for the fresh perishable product packaged in accordance with the aforementioned method.

[0003] The lifetime of air packaged chilled meat is about 5-7 days approximately, with the development of microorganisms, fat oxidation and change in the colour of the meat being the main deterioration mechanisms affecting the shelf life. Therefore, the most commonly used technique for preserving such products involves the use of modified atmospheres, with an approximate composition of 20-70% CO\textsubscript{2}, which has proven to be an effective antibacterial.

[0004] For example, in the case of modified atmosphere packaging of products and poultry meal, such modified atmosphere packaging process has several advantages as compared to conventional air packaging processes, such as, among others, extending the lifetime of the food delaying and/or preventing microbial growth and chemical and enzymatic deterioration responsible for such deterioration during storage and commercialization; reducing the intensity of other complementary conservation treatments; improving optimization of warehouse management, since as they are hermetically sealed packagings different foods can be stored in the same packaging and a better food presentation is provided helping to provide a freshness and natural product appearance. Other factors such as low storage temperature and different compositions of the packaging modified atmosphere are also important to extend the product lifetime, reducing microbial growth and promoting preservation of its organoleptic properties.

[0005] However, modified atmosphere packaging also presents a number of disadvantages, such as high initial investment in equipment, high operational and maintenance costs, gas consumption for generating the modified atmosphere, the need for qualified personnel for machine operation, the possibility of packaging collapse, etc. On the other hand, packaged fresh meat with a modified atmosphere may suffer from exudate problems as a result of excessively high levels of CO\textsubscript{2} inside the packaging. This exudate phenomenon is produced by excessive dissolution of this gas in tissues, negatively affecting texture and appearance of the product and also contributing to microbial growth (Ioannis S. Arvanitoyannis & Alexandros Ch. Stratakos, Application of Modified Atmosphere Packaging and Active/Smart Technologies to Red Meat and Poultry: A Review, Food Bioprocess Technol (2012) 5: 1423-1446). Precisely because of this dissolution of CO\textsubscript{2} in the tissues of the product, providing a high initial dose is required to ensure that there remains an effective concentration throughout the lifetime of the packaged product.

[0006] As an alternative to modified atmosphere, some examples of packaging systems including sachets or labels as active CO\textsubscript{2} releasers, which are used alone or in combination with O\textsubscript{2} absorbers, are available in the market. An example of a CO\textsubscript{2} releasing packaging is the Verifrais\textsuperscript{\textregistered} packaging (manufactured by Codimer SARL, Paris, France) which is mainly used to extend the lifetime of fresh meat and fish. However, the presence of such separate devices, inside the packaging, may result in food safety issues in the event that accidental breakage of the sachet occurs, they require an additional operation in the packaging process and giving rise to rejection by the consumer. In fact, no suitable commercial development has currently been identified where CO\textsubscript{2} generating active agent is included in the packaging material itself, without the need for an external element.

[0007] For example, WO/2013/180013, "Oxygen-generating/CO\textsubscript{2} gas-absorbing agent composition, packaging for oxygen-generating/CO\textsubscript{2} gas-absorbing agent, and transportation method for live fish and shellfish", discloses a composition prepared from an oxygen generating component and a CO\textsubscript{2} absorbent including a solid peroxide, a peroxide decomposition catalyst and an alkali metal carbonate.

[0008] In document WO/2013/177352, "Method of rapid carbon dioxide absorption", a method for absorbing CO\textsubscript{2} is provided comprising providing a packaging containing a CO\textsubscript{2} releasing product, providing calcium hydroxide in the packaging and sealing it.

[0009] PCT/EP2013/057627, "Oxygen-releasing inserts" discloses an oxygen-releasing insert that allows for actively controlling the environment inside a packaging in order to maintain a predetermined gas composition therein, the insert comprising hydrogen peroxide.

[0010] The present invention overcomes the problems explained above by providing a method for preserving fresh perishable food products in a packaging wherein the packaging itself forms a CO\textsubscript{2} generating system by including CO\textsubscript{2} generating active agents from a coating present in the packaging and that is activated with the packaged product exudate itself.

[0011] In the present description, the terms relating to "foods", "perishable food products", "meat products or meat derivatives" are used interchangeably in reference to the contents of the packaging described herein. The corresponding
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[0012] Thus, perishable foods are considered those that, because of their nature, require special storage conditions in their periods of storage and transportation. The generic term of meat comprises the edible part of muscles of healthy, hygienically slaughtered bovine, ovine, swine, goats, equids and camelids. By extension, it also applies to that of farmyard animals, hair and feather hunting and marine mammals.

[0013] Similarly, the definition given by said Code for the terms "packaging" is considered, that is, any container intended to contain a food with the specific purpose of protecting it from deterioration, contamination or adulteration, and "coating" as the cover that is closely linked to any of the materials that protects and preserves it.

[0014] In a first aspect, the invention relates to a method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging, particularly suitable for meat and meat derivatives, the method including:

a) Providing a packaging that generates a protective atmosphere from a non-stoichiometric reaction between carbon dioxide generating active agents, citric acid and sodium bicarbonate included in the form of polymer coating on the material forming the packaging;

b) Providing the perishable fresh product inside the packaging;

c) Allowing contact between the exudate generated by the packaged product and the coating, while at the same time preventing said exudate from returning back to the food product.

[0015] Fig. 1 schematically shows how the CO₂ generating packaging works. As it can be seen from this figure, the exudate from the food product comes into contact with the reagents included in the polymer coating on the material forming the packaging such that the reaction is triggered between these reagents, which are found in non-stoichiometric amounts so that CO₂ in the gaseous state can be generated, which is released into the packaging head space. Since the release of CO₂ gas is slow and continuous over time, a detrimental excess of this protective gas is not generated inside the packaging.

[0016] Examples of polymers for the polymer coating applied to the CO₂ protective atmosphere generating packaging are polyamide, polyactic acid (PLA), polycaprolactone (PCL) or polystyrene. Examples of solvents suitable for the above mentioned polymers are ethanol, acetic acid or ethyl acetate.

[0017] Preferably a polystyrene-based polymer is used, such as crystal polystyrene or High-impact Polystyrene (HIPS) dissolved in ethyl acetate.

[0018] In one embodiment, the polystyrene, the crystal polystyrene or the High-impact Polystyrene (HIPS) in ethyl acetate is used with a concentration, in weight percent, of 4-20%, preferably 5-15% and particularly preferably of 7-12%.

[0019] The method for applying the polymer coating is not particularly limited, being possible to be applied by lamination dissolution (casting) or spraying, for example. In one embodiment of the invention, spraying is used as a method for applying the polymer coating to the packaging.

[0020] In another embodiment of the method of the invention a non-stoichiometric mixture of active agents is used in a weight ratio with respect to the mixture of active agents of 30-50% sodium bicarbonate and 50-70% citric acid.

[0021] In yet another embodiment of the method of the invention, the amount of citric acid included in the coating ranges from 0.002 to 0.8 grams of citric acid per gram of food. Similarly, in one embodiment, the amount of sodium bicarbonate included in the coating ranges from 0.008 to 0.001 grams of sodium bicarbonate per gram of food.

[0022] The protective atmosphere generating packaging for the perishable fresh product packaged according to the above method is also an object of the present invention, a protective atmosphere being generated by the packaging itself from a non-stoichiometric reaction between the carbon dioxide generating active agents, citric acid and sodium bicarbonate included in the form of a polymer coating on the material forming the packaging and wherein the packaging allows contact between the exudate generated by the packaged product and the coating, while at the same time preventing said exudate from returning back to the food product.

[0023] In this respect, any high or medium barrier polymer material suitable for food packaging can be employed as the material constituting the packaging.

[0024] The protective atmosphere generating packaging of the invention is not limited as to its shape, a packaging being any container intended for containing a food with the specific purpose of protecting it from deterioration, contamination or adulteration as mentioned above. Therefore, the polymer coating may be applied to any material forming the packaging, whether it is in the form of punnet, tray, bag, etc., as long as it meets the dual functionality of the packaging with respect to the above described method, that is, besides releasing CO₂ from a built-in coating, facilitating contact between the coating and the exudate and preventing the exudate from returning to the packaged food.

[0025] In one exemplary embodiment of the packaging of the invention, this takes the form of a tray or punnet whose bottom is shaped by a pattern of cells and it is covered throughout the interior thereof by the CO₂ generating coating described above. The punnet includes a separating sheet on which food is provided, thus defining a double bottom in the punnet. Said separating sheet has funnel holes on its side opposite the food, the holes allowing the exudate to pass
through the separating sheet only in the direction towards the bottom of the punnet and collect it in the cell pattern of the bottom thereof, thereby triggering the CO₂ generating reaction by the packaging coating. The funnel shape of the holes in the separating sheet prevents it from returning to the food. The packaging can be closed by any appropriate closure element, such as for example a lid or an envelope-like film surrounding the packaging assembly and the food contained therein.

[0026] The packaging of the invention may also be designed to be reclosable by using suitable closing-opening means, such as of the zip type or side zipper, by means of removable lids, etc. This type of reclosable packaging according to the invention allows the consumer to open the packaging, to consume part of the product and to close it again, generating once again a CO₂ rich atmosphere in the interior thereof and extending the lifetime of the food.

Examples

Polymer coating and its application to the material forming the packaging

[0027] Selecting the base polymer and the solvent as well as the active agents are the most important aspects of the development of the active coating. After various studies, these aspects were optimized, resulting in the selection of a polymer coating formed by crystal/HIPS polystyrene dissolved in ethyl acetate, wherein the active agents sodium bicarbonate and citric acid are included in amounts relating to 150% by weight relative to the weight of the base polymer. The active components are included in the form of a mixture at a rate of 2-3 g of mixture of active components per packaging to reach values of %CO₂ within the values required for this application (20-30% CO₂ in the packaging head space).

[0028] The method for applying the polymer coating was carried out in two steps:

a) spraying the dissolution of crystal/HIPS polystyrene dissolved in ethyl acetate with a liquids gun and

b) applying the mixture of the solid active components by a solids gun or gravimetric methods.

[0029] Fig. 2 shows the evolution of CO₂ in the head space of active packagings of PS (polystyrene) and PLA (polylactic acid) with increasing amounts of active components (sodium bicarbonate and citric acid).

[0030] As shown in Fig. 3, the punnets, in this example intended to contain chicken, developed with the CO₂ generating active coatings and air packaged (21% O₂ and 79% N₂) reached 20% CO₂ the first day of the test, being in balance by approximately 23% CO₂ for the 11 days during which it was extended. However, chicken packaged under modified atmosphere (70% O₂ and 30% CO₂) decreased its CO₂ content in the packaging during the same period until a percentage similar to that of the active punnets was reached. This is due to gas absorption in chicken meat causing the CO₂ to be gradually decreased in the case of modified atmosphere packaging, promoting microbiological growth.

[0031] In this regard, it is important to note that the study conducted allowed not only a suitable CO₂ generating coating to be identified, but also to check its effectiveness with chicken exudate, reaching values similar to those used in the modified atmosphere and remaining constant over time.

[0032] Fig. 4 shows the percentage of CO₂ that is released in a chicken packaging with polystyrene coating in ethyl acetate. As shown in this figure, values similar to those achieved with the use of modified atmosphere (MAP) are reached, remaining constant over time.

Packaging design

[0033] The packaging design was further investigated from the functional point of view of the punnet coating application. Initially, the starting point was two conceptual designs from which the only viable design was finally selected taking into consideration food safety. The selected option was developed at a prototype level in order to validate its functionality, in this case a double bottom design. During design definition it was important to consider the following requirements: an even coating distribution, defined coating boundaries and having good adhesion to base material, correct direction of exudate into coated areas and with a sealing extending for a period of time sufficient for triggering the reaction, facilitating subsequent release of CO₂ from the double bottom into the head space evenly, preventing some parts of the product from receiving greater exposure to released CO₂, once the reaction has been started, the punnet having to collect and retain the exudate, not only in horizontal position but also in case of an inclined punnet. Variables related to manufacturing, such as the possibility of being manufactured by conventional methods or with slight variations (thermo-forming and die-cutting), the fact that the coating arrangement does not hinder spraying and that it can be applied by conventional methods with slight modifications and suit any packaging line were also taken into account.

[0034] All of this led to a design based on punnet, a separating sheet and a means for coating the punnet, in this particular case a PET/EVOH/PE packaging film.
As shown in Fig. 5, all the design alternatives for the packaging including the CO\textsubscript{2} generating coating would be functionally appropriate. However, in preliminary tests with punnets without cells a problem of poor adhesion of the coating to the punnet was observed, such problem being solved by the design with cells as described above.

For a tray with 300 g of chicken, the grams of each active component/g of chicken was calculated for different cases in order to obtain an amount of CO\textsubscript{2} of about 10, 20 and 30%. The data obtained are presented in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture amount</th>
<th>Sodium bicarbonate (g)</th>
<th>Citric acid (g)</th>
<th>NaHCO\textsubscript{3} (g)/g of chicken</th>
<th>Citric acid (g)/g of chicken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claims

1. A method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging, the method including:
   a) Providing a packaging that generates a protective atmosphere from a non-stoichiometric reaction between carbon dioxide generating active agents, citric acid and sodium bicarbonate in mixture, included in the form of polymer coating in the material forming the packaging;
   b) Providing the perishable fresh product inside the packaging;
   c) Allowing contact between the exudate generated by the packaged product and the coating, while at the same time preventing said exudate from returning back to the food product.

2. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 1, wherein the polymer coating applied to the CO\textsubscript{2} protective atmosphere generating packaging is a polymer selected from polyamide, polylactic acid, polycaprolactone or polystyrene, dissolved in a solvent selected from ethanol, acetone or ethyl acetate.

3. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 2, wherein the polymer coating applied to the CO\textsubscript{2} protective atmosphere generating packaging is a polystyrene polymer dissolved in ethyl acetate.

4. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 3, wherein the polymer coating applied to the CO\textsubscript{2} protective atmosphere generating packaging is crystal polystyrene or high impact polystyrene dissolved in ethyl acetate.

5. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 4, wherein the crystal polystyrene or the high impact polystyrene in ethyl acetate is used with a concentration, in weight percent, of 4-20%.

6. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 5, wherein the crystal polystyrene or high impact polystyrene in ethyl acetate is used with a concentration, in weight percent, of 5-15%.

7. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 5, wherein the crystal polystyrene or high impact polystyrene in ethyl acetate is used with a concentration, in weight percent, of 7-12%.

8. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 1, wherein the non-stoichiometric mixture of the active agents is formed by 30-50% sodium bicarbonate and 50-70% citric acid, in weight percent relative to the mixture.

9. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 1, wherein the amount of citric acid included in the coating ranges from 0.002 to 0.8 grams of citric acid per gram of food.

10. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 1, wherein the amount of sodium bicarbonate included in the coating ranges from 0.008 to 0.001 grams of sodium bicarbonate per gram of food.
11. The method for preserving perishable fresh food products in a packaging as claimed in claim 1, wherein the application of the polymer coating in the material forming the packaging is carried out by spraying.

12. A protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products, characterized in that the packaging itself creates a protective atmosphere from a non-stoichiometric reaction between the carbon dioxide generating active agents, citric acid and sodium bicarbonate in mixture, included in the form of polymer coating in the material forming the packaging.

13. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 12, wherein the polymer coating applied to the CO₂ protective atmosphere generating packaging is a polymer selected from polyamide, polylactic acid, polycaprolactone or polystyrene, dissolved in a solvent selected from ethanol, acetone or ethyl acetate.

14. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 13, wherein the polymer coating applied to the CO₂ protective atmosphere generating packaging is a polystyrene polymer dissolved in ethyl acetate.

15. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 14, wherein the polymer coating applied to the CO₂ protective atmosphere generating packaging is crystal polystyrene or high impact polystyrene dissolved in ethyl acetate.

16. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 15, wherein the crystal polystyrene or the high impact polystyrene in ethyl acetate is used with a concentration of 4-20%, in weight percent.

17. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 16, wherein the crystal polystyrene or high impact polystyrene in ethyl acetate is used with a concentration, in weight percent, of 5-15%.

18. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 16, wherein the crystal polystyrene or high impact polystyrene in ethyl acetate is used with a concentration, in weight percent, of 7-12%.

19. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 12, wherein the non-stoichiometric mixture of the active agents is formed by 30-50% sodium bicarbonate and 50-70% citric acid, in weight percent relative to the mixture.

20. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 12, wherein the amount of citric acid included into the coating ranges from 0.002 to 0.8 grams of citric acid per gram of packaged food.

21. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products as claimed in claim 12, wherein the amount of sodium bicarbonate included in the coating ranges from 0.001 to 0.008 grams of sodium bicarbonate per gram of packaged food.

22. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products according to any of claims 12 to 21, wherein it allows contact between the exudate generated by the packaged product and the coating and prevents the exudate from returning back to the food product.

23. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products according to any of claims 12 to 22, wherein it is shaped in the form of a tray or punnet whose bottom is formed by a cell pattern, the punnet including a separating sheet defining a double bottom and on which the food is to be provided, wherein said separating sheet has funnel holes on its side opposite the food, which holes allow the exudate to pass through the separating sheet only in the direction towards the bottom of the punnet and collect it in the cell pattern of the bottom thereof, thereby triggering the CO₂ generating reaction by the packaging coating.

24. The protective atmosphere generating packaging for perishable fresh food products according to any of claims 12 to 23, wherein it comprises re-closable closure means suitable for the consumer to open the packaging, to consume
a part of the product and to close it again, generating once again a CO$_2$ rich atmosphere therein.
Fig. 1
Evolution of the CO2 in the head space with PS and PLA active packages at different active agent concentrations
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Fig. 2
Chicken breast packaging

Fig. 3
Evolution of the CO2 in the head space with active packages according to the conceptual design

Fig. 5
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